

HW 4: Advanced Topics in Machine Learning

Instructor: René Vidal, E-mail: rvidal@cis.jhu.edu

Due 5/7/10 in class

1. Affine motion segmentation.

- (a) Use the function `gpca` from Homework 3 to segment the point correspondences of the following five video sequences in the course webpage: i) Kanatani1, ii) Kanatani2, iii) Kanatani3, iv) three-cars, v) can-book. You can use the provided function `loadSequence.m` to load the sequences and form the matrix of trajectories. Recall that you will need to project the data in \mathbb{R}^{2F} onto a subspace of dimension d . What is the value for d ? Assume the number of groups is known. Plot the three principal components of the data with different colors for the different groups. Report the percentage of misclassified point trajectories.
- (b) Repeat part (a) using the function `ksubspaces` that you implemented in Homework 4. Use the result of GPCA from part (a) to initialize K-subspaces. Use both the data without projection, i.e., the data in \mathbb{R}^{2F} , and the projected data in \mathbb{R}^d as the input to K-subspaces. Which one is better, projecting or not, and why?

2. **Face Clustering with varying illumination:** A simple model for the images of n Lambertian faces taken under several illumination conditions is that they live in n 3-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^P , where P is the number of pixels. It follows that clustering a set of images of multiple faces according to which individuals the image belongs to is a subspace clustering problem. Load the set of images given in the course web-page. You will need to use the provided function `loadImage.m` to load all the images of individuals from 1 to 3 under the illumination conditions 1 to 8. Next, reduce the dimension using PCA to the first four principal components. Now, assume the number of groups is known, i.e. $n = 3$, and segment the faces using the functions `gpca` and `ksubspaces` that you implemented in Homework 3. Use also `ksubspaces` initialized by GPCA. Plot the first three components of the low-dimensional representation and report the percentage of incorrectly classified images.

3. **SVD-based subspace clustering:** Let $\{\mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}^D\}_{j=1}^N$ be a set of points drawn from a union of n independent subspaces $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^n$, i.e.,

$$r = \dim(\cup_{i=1}^n S_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \dim(S_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i. \quad (1)$$

Let $U_i \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d_i}$ be a basis for subspace S_i , so that the N_i points in subspace S_i can be written as $X_i = U_i Y_i$, where $Y_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i \times N_i}$ is the low-dimensional representation of the data points in subspace S_i . Show that the data matrix $X = [\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N}$ can be factorized as

$$X = [U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n] \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & Y_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & Y_n \end{bmatrix} \Gamma. \quad (2)$$

where $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is a permutation matrix sorting the data points according to the subspaces they belong to, i.e., $X = [X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] \Gamma$. Let $X = U \Sigma V^T$ be the rank r SVD of the data matrix X , where $V \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times N}$. Let $Q = V V^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. Show that $Q_{ij} = 0$ if points i and j are in different subspaces. Suggest an algorithm for clustering independent subspaces based on the SVD of the data matrix.

4. **GPCA for 2 hyperplanes:** Consider the problem of segmenting n data points $\{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=1}^N$ lying in two hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^D with normal vectors \mathbf{b}_1 and \mathbf{b}_2 . Show that the data points satisfy the equation $\mathbf{x}^T B \mathbf{x} = 0$, where $B = \mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{b}_2^T + \mathbf{b}_2 \mathbf{b}_1^T \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$. Write down a linear system for estimating B from data points. Show that if $\mathbf{b}_1 \neq \alpha \mathbf{b}_2$, for any $\alpha \neq 0$, then B has two nonzero eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 and $D - 2$ zero eigenvalues. Show that $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 0$. Show that one can estimate the normal vectors from B as

$$[\mathbf{b}_1 \quad \mathbf{b}_2] = [U_1 \quad U_2] \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{|\lambda_1|} & \text{sign}(\lambda_1) \sqrt{|\lambda_1|} \\ \sqrt{|\lambda_2|} & \text{sign}(\lambda_2) \sqrt{|\lambda_2|} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

where $U_1 \in \mathbb{R}^D$ and $U_2 \in \mathbb{R}^D$ are the eigenvectors of B corresponding to the nonzero eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 .

5. **Clustering linear from bilinear varieties:** Let $S = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^D \times \mathbb{R}^D : \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x} = 0 \vee \mathbf{y}^\top A \mathbf{x} = 0\}$, where $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $e_D^\top A = e_D^\top = [0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 1]$.

- Find a polynomial $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ that vanishes on S . How many independent monomials are there in p ?
- Show that p can be written as $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}^\top \mathcal{M} \nu_2(\mathbf{x})$. How is \mathcal{M} related to A and \mathbf{u} ?
- If $D = 3$, write the \mathcal{M} explicitly, and show how one can compute \mathbf{u} and A from the entries of \mathcal{M} .
- Let $\mathbf{X} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i) \in S\}_{i=1}^N$ be a given data set. Derive an algorithm to compute \mathcal{M} from \mathbf{X} . Then, derive an algorithm to compute A and \mathbf{u} from the derivatives of p with respect to \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} .

Hint: Explicitly write down the derivatives of the polynomial $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ and inspect the values of these derivatives when $\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x} = 0$ and when $\mathbf{y}^\top A \mathbf{x} = 0$. Also, making clever canonical choices for \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} can make the solution easier.

6. **Kernel GPCA:** Recall that Kernel PCA allows one to compute the principal components of the embedded data matrix $\Phi = [\phi(\mathbf{x}_1), \phi(\mathbf{x}_2), \dots, \phi(\mathbf{x}_N)]$ from the kernel $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \phi(\mathbf{x})^\top \phi(\mathbf{y})$. In the case of the polynomial embedding, this reduces the calculations of the SVD of the covariance matrix, which is $M_n(D) \times M_n(D)$, to the SVD of the kernel matrix, which is $N \times N$. This is much more economic when D is large so that $M_n(D) \gg N$. This observation is usually referred to as the *kernel trick*.

Recall also that the GPCA algorithm finds polynomials of the form $p_n(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c}^\top \nu_n(\mathbf{x})$, whose coefficients \mathbf{c} are in the null space of the embedded data matrix $\Phi = [\nu_n(\mathbf{x}_1), \nu_n(\mathbf{x}_2), \dots, \nu_n(\mathbf{x}_N)]$.

Recall now that the scaled Veronese map induces the polynomial kernel $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y})^n = \nu_n(\mathbf{x})^\top \nu_n(\mathbf{y})$.

These three facts suggest that one may be able to solve the GPCA problem using the kernel trick. Specifically, find a way of computing a basis for each of the subspaces from the kernel matrix

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{x}_1^\top \mathbf{x}_1)^n & (\mathbf{x}_1^\top \mathbf{x}_2)^n & \cdots & (\mathbf{x}_1^\top \mathbf{x}_N)^n \\ (\mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{x}_1)^n & (\mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{x}_2)^n & \cdots & (\mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{x}_N)^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\mathbf{x}_N^\top \mathbf{x}_1)^n & (\mathbf{x}_N^\top \mathbf{x}_2)^n & \cdots & (\mathbf{x}_N^\top \mathbf{x}_N)^n \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

without having to explicitly compute vectors in $M_n(D)$. You may assume that the subspaces are independent. You may also assume $n = 2$ if you like.